employed. A 100% difference in relative humidity was maintained across the films. The permeability constant, P, was calculated using the equation,

$$P = \frac{(w) (x)}{(A) (t) (p)}$$

where w is the weight of water vapor, in grams, diffusing through a film of thickness x, in centimeters. and area, A in square centimeters, during the time t. in seconds, when the vapor pressure difference p is measured in millimeters of mercury. The permeability constants obtained are recorded in Table I and those for the saturated acyl groups are shown graphically in Fig. 4.

Permeability decreased as the chain length of the fatty acid group increased. The presence of unreacted hydroxyl groups apparently had no significant effect on permeability, which is in agreement with data on glycerides obtained in our laboratory. Heretofore, no data on the permeability of amylose esters have been published. Using slightly different test conditions, Rankin et al. (12) obtained a permeability constant of  $760 \times 10^{-12}$  for a film of amylose. The permeability of amylose acetate is slightly higher than that reported for cellulose acetate (17). The lowest value obtained,  $15 \times 10^{-12}$  for the stearate, was slightly larger than that of polystyrene (1,5). The value for paraffin wax. probably the most impermeable of organic compounds, has been reported to be  $0.17 \times 10^{-12}$  (15).

When the amylose ester films were removed from the moisture cups after the measurements had been completed, it was observed that the more permeable films had become hazy.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their appreciation to Voyce P. Whitley for determining moisture, acetyl, and butyryl contents; to Donald Mitcham for obtaining X-ray diffraction data; to Wilbur F. McSherry for measuring the tensile properties, and to R. C. Hebert for assisting in a portion of the work.

## REFERENCES

REFERENCES

1. American Society for Testing Materials, ASTM Designation (a) E 28.51T, (b) D 882-56T, Philadelphia, The Society.
2. Bus, W. C., Muetgeert, J., and Hiemstra, P. (to Cooperatieve Verkoop- en Productievereniging van Aardappelmeel en Derivaten "Avebe" G.A.). U.S. Pats. 2,829,987-2,829,990 (April 8, 1958).
3. Fischer, K., Angew. Chem., 48, 394-396 (1935).
4. Genung, L. B., and Mallatt, R. C., Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed., 13, 369-374 (1941).
5. Lovegren, N. V., Guice, W. A., and Feuge, R. O., J. Am. Oil Chemists' Soc., 35, 327-331 (1958).
6. Malm, C. J., Mench. J. W., Kendall, D. L., and Hiatt, G. D., Ind. Eng. Chem., 43, 684-688 (1951).
7. Malm, C. J., Mench. J. W., Kendall, D. L., and Hiatt, G. D., ibid., 43, 688-691 (1951).
8. Matchett, J. R., and Levine, J., Ind. Eng. Chem., Anal. Ed., 13, 98-99 (1941).
9. Meyer, K. H., Bernfeld, P., and Hohenemser, W., Helv. Chim. Acta, 23, 885-890 (1940).
10. Mikus, F. F., Hixon, R. M., and Rundle, R. E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 68, 1115-1123 (1946).
11. Mullen II, J. W., and Pacsu, E., Ind. Eng. Chem., 34, 1209-1217 (1942).
12. Rankin, J. C., Wolff, I. A., Davis, H. A., and Rist, C. E., Chem. & Eng. Data Ser., 3, 120-123 (1958).
13. Senti, F. R., and Dimler, R. J., Food Technol., 13, 663-667 (1959).
14. Sheppard, S. E., and Newsome, P. T., J. Phys. Chem., 39, 143-13. Seutt, F. R., and Samer, 1959).
14. Sheppard, S. E., and Newsome, P. T., J. Phys. Chem., 39, 143-152 (1935).
15. Taylor, R. L., Herrmann, D. B., and Kemp, A. R., Ind. Eng. Chem., 28, 1255-1263 (1936).
16. Wagner, R. H., and Russell, J., Anal. Chem., 20, 151-155 (1948). 16. Wagner, R. H., and Russen, ... (1948). 17. Whistler, R. L., and Hilbert, G. E., Ind. Eng. Chem., 36, 796-17. Whistler, R. L., and Hilbert, G. E., Ind. Eng. Chem., 36, 796-798 (1944).

18. Whistler, R. L., Advances in Carbohydrate Chemistry, 1, 279-307 (1945). 18. Whistler, R. L., Advances in Carbonydrate Chemistry, 1, 219-307 (1945).
19. Wolff, I. A., Olds, D. W., and Hilbert, G. E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 73, 346-349 (1951).
20. Wolff, I. A., Olds, D. W., and Hilbert, G. E., Ind. Eng. Chem., 43, 911-914 (1951).
21. Youngs, C. G., Epp. A., Craig, B. M., and Sallans, H. R., J. Am. Oil Chemists' Soc., 34, 107-108 (1957).

[Received June 21, 1961]

## Report of the Uniform Methods Committee, 1961

The meeting of the Uniform Methods Committee was held at 2 p.m., October 30, 1961, during the Chicago fall meeting. K. E. Holt, R. J. Houle, R. A. Marmor, L. D. Metcalf, E. F. Sipos, E. M. Sallee, editor, and D. L. Henry were present. Visitors were: R. W. Bates, L. A. Baumann, R. C. Stillman, and M. E. Whitten.

Progress reports submitted by many of the Technical

Committees were discussed with interest. These reports indicate that much good work is being done on needed methods. No recommendations were received to change existing methods or to adopt new ones, and no changes are recommended by the Uniform Methods Committee.

Respectfully submitted.

J. J. GANUCHEAU T. D. METCALF K. E. Holt E. F. Sipos R. L. TERRILL R. J. Houle R. A. MARMOR E. M. SALLEE

D. L. HENRY, Chairman